I think that the Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is unethical and a obvious example of the dangers of media consolidation.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. I don't believe that Sinclair Broadcasting is serving oour community in this way... When large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less objective and unbiased reporting. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.

. They are a great example of how big media is determential to journalism and democracy itself.